Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 dated June 10, 2004

Reply to the Office Action dated March 12, 2004

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1 - 2 (Canceled)

Claim 3 (Currently Amended): A video coding apparatus for coding a video picture by the use of motion compensatory prediction of each of video pictures with respect to sequentially input video signals, the video coding apparatus comprising:

inter-frame variance calculation means for calculating a variance between timewise adjacent [[P]] frames with respect to the input video signals;

intra-frame coding mode decision means for deciding an intra-frame coding mode based on the variance without using any motion compensatory prediction a GOP boundary position when the inter-frame variance exceeds a predetermined value; and

one-way coding (P) frame interval decision means for deciding a P frame interval for carrying out motion compensatory prediction coding based on the <u>motion</u> features between time wise adjacent frames with respect to the input video pictures,

a GOP boundary position being decided based on the decision by the intra-frame coding mode decision means, and wherein the P frame interval inside one GOP being decided based on the decision by the P frame interval decision means.

Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 dated June 10, 2004

Reply to the Office Action dated March 12, 2004

Claims 4-6 (Canceled)

Claim 7 (Original): A video coding apparatus according to claim 3, wherein the inter-

frame variance is calculated by using at least one of an absolute difference between the input

video pictures and a pixel dispersion value of each of small blocks, into which the input video

picture is divided.

Claim 8 (Canceled)

Claim 9 (Original): A video coding apparatus according to claim 3, wherein the P frame

interval decision means divides the input video picture into small blocks and carries out simple

motion compensatory prediction by the use of a representative value per small block so as to

decide the P frame interval.

Claim 10 (Canceled)

Claim 11 (Original): A video coding apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the

representative value uses either one of an average inside the small block and a dispersion value

inside the small block.

Claim 12 (Canceled)

Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 dated June 10, 2004

Reply to the Office Action dated March 12, 2004

Claim 13 (Original): A video coding apparatus according to claim 3, wherein the P frame

interval decision means controls to make the frame interval small in the case where a motion

compensatory prediction error is large while controls to make the frame interval great in the case

where the motion compensatory prediction error is small.

Claim 14 (Canceled)

Claim 15 (Original): A video coding apparatus according to claim 3, further comprising

means for dividing a target video picture into small blocks so as to judge an edge region inside

the video picture based on the dispersion value of pixel information on the small block.

Claim 16 (Original): A video coding apparatus according to claim 3, further comprising

coding complexity prediction means for predicting coding complexity in each coding system

based on the feature of the video picture inside the GOP so as to control a coding quantity

at the time of coding in consideration of the complexity.

Claim 17 (Withdrawn): A video coding apparatus capable of coding a video picture by

either a field structure or a frame structure, the video coding apparatus comprising:

means for discriminating whether each of sequentially input video pictures is an

interlaced video picture or a non-interlaced video picture,

Page 4 of 11

Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 dated June 10, 2004

Reply to the Office Action dated March 12, 2004

the means selecting coding by the field structure if the video picture is an interlaced video picture while the means selecting coding by the frame structure unless the video picture is an interlaced video picture.

Claim 18 (Withdrawn): A video coding apparatus according to claim 17, wherein in order to discriminate whether the input video picture is an interlaced video picture or a non-interlaced video picture, the spacewise correlation of pixels continuous in a vertical direction at an arbitrary position inside the video picture is measured, so that the video picture is discriminated to be an interlaced video picture if the correlation between the same fields is higher than the correlation between different fields.

Claim 19 (Withdrawn): A video coding apparatus according to claim 18, wherein the coding by the field structure is selected in the case where the number of pixels satisfying the conditions expressed by inequalities (1) and (2) below exceeds a predetermined rate of the number of pixels satisfying the inequality (1) in measuring the spacewise correlation of the pixels continuous in the vertical direction:

Max(d(0,-2),d(0,2),d(-1,1)) < threshold value ... (1)

 $(Max(d(0,-2),d(0,2),d(-1,1))+offset) \le Min(d(0,-1),d(0,1))...(2)$

wherein, a and b represent pixel position in the vertical direction, d(a,b) represents an absolute difference between a and b.

Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 dated June 10, 2004

Reply to the Office Action dated March 12, 2004

Claim 20 (Withdrawn): A video coding apparatus capable of coding a video picture by either a field structure or a frame structure, the video coding apparatus comprising:

means for calculating the correlation between two video pictures with a timewise interval with respect to sequentially input video pictures; and

means for deciding whether the coding is carried out by either a field structure or a frame structure based on the correlation,

the coding by the frame structure being carried out in the case of the higher correlation than a predetermined value while the coding by the field structure being carried out in the case of the lower correlation than it.

Claim 21 (Withdrawn): A video coding apparatus according to claim 20,

wherein the means for calculating the correlation between the two video pictures comprises:

means for creating a downscaled plane in consideration of features of sequentially input video pictures; and

means for performing simple motion estimation processing on the downscaled plane, and wherein the coding by the field structure is selected in the case where a motion compensatory prediction error obtained by the simple motion estimation processing is larger than a predetermined value.

Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 dated June 10, 2004

Reply to the Office Action dated March 12, 2004

Claim 22 (Withdrawn): A video coding apparatus according to claim 21, wherein the

means for creating the downscaled plane in consideration of the feature of the video picture

divides the video picture into small blocks and calculates a deviation per divided small block, the

deviation being an element of the downscaled plane.

Claim 23 (Withdrawn): A video coding apparatus according to claim 20, further

comprising means for discriminating whether the input video picture is an interlaced video

picture or a non-interlaced video picture,

wherein a video picture variance is analyzed, so that the coding by the field/frame

structure is selected by detecting the correlation between the two video pictures with respect to

only the video pictures which are discriminated to be interlaced video pictures, while the coding

by the frame structure is selected with respect to the video pictures which are not discriminated

to be interlaced video pictures.

Claim 24 (Withdrawn): A video coding apparatus according to claim 21, further

comprising means for discriminating whether the input video picture is an interlaced video

picture or a non-interlaced video picture,

wherein a video picture variance is analyzed, so that the coding by the field/frame

structure is selected by detecting the correlation between the two video pictures with respect to

only the video pictures which are discriminated to be interlaced video pictures, while the coding

Page 7 of 11

Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 dated June 10, 2004

Reply to the Office Action dated March 12, 2004

by the frame structure is selected with respect to the video pictures which are not discriminated to be interlaced video pictures.

Claim 25 (Withdrawn): A video coding apparatus according to claim 23, further comprising means for switching and setting the interlaced/non-interlaced video pictures,

wherein it is discriminated whether one video picture input first or a plurality of video pictures are interlaced video pictures or non-interlaced video pictures, so that the means for switching and setting the interlaced/non-interlaced video pictures is set based on the discrimination result.

Claim 26 (Withdrawn): A video coding apparatus according to claim 24, further comprising means for switching and setting the interlaced/non-interlaced video pictures,

wherein it is discriminated whether one video picture input first or a plurality of video pictures are interlaced video pictures or non-interlaced video pictures, so that the means for switching and setting the interlaced/non-interlaced video pictures is set based on the discrimination result.

Claims 27 - 29 (Canceled)